



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,949	04/19/2005	Masaru Minami	SON-2851	4516
23353 7590 05/23/2008 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				
EXAMINER				
GUJARAY, KARABI				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2889				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/23/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/531,949

Applicant(s)

MINAMI, MASARU

Examiner

Karabi Guharay

Art Unit

2889

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/2005
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 11-12, 15-18, 21-22, 29-30, 33-36 & 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US 5811927).

Regarding claims 1 & 5, Anderson et al. disclose a flat -type display (cold cathode field emission display; Figs 9-10) comprising a first panel or anode plate (122 of Fig 3; liners 36-37 of column 5) and a second panel (cathode panel 164 of Fig 8), in which plurality of field emission devices (166) are formed and which are bonded to each other in their circumferential portions and having a space between the first panel and second panel, space being in vacuum state, in which a spacer (102) disposed between a first panel effective field and a second panel effective field that work as display portion (lines 4-17 of column 8), said spacer is fixed to the first panel effective field and /or second panel effective field with a low-melting point metal material (bonding materials 168, 169 of Fig 9 or 108 & 112 of Fig 2 & 7, are made of low melting point material such as gold or gold-palladium alloy; lines 1 and 47-49 of column 4).

Regarding claims 2, Anderson discloses that the spacer is formed of ceramic or glass (lines 35-37 of column 3).

Regarding claims 11, 15-16, 21-22, 29, 33-34 & 39-40, Anderson discloses a method for manufacturing a flat-type display, said flat-type display comprising a first panel or anode plate (122 of Fig 3; liners 36-37 of column 5) in which anode electrode and a phosphor layer are formed (lines 25-42 of column 6) and a second panel (cathode panel 164 of Fig 8) in which plurality of field emission devices (166) are formed, and which are bonded to each other in their circumferential portions and having a space between the first panel and the second panel, the space being in a vacuum state, a spacer (102) being disposed between a first panel effective field and a second panel effective field that work as display portion (lines 4-17 of column 8), the method comprising (A) arranging a spacer (102) with a low-melting-point (108 & 112 are made of low melting point material such as gold or gold palladium alloy lines 1 and 47-49 of column 4) metal material layer formed on one top surface thereof, on the first panel effective field (130), then, (B) heating the low-melting-point metal material layer to melt the same and thereby fixing said spacer to the first panel effective field, and then, (C) placing the second panel on the other top surface of the spacer, bonding the first panel and the second panel to each other in their circumferential portions, and vacuuming the space sandwiched between the first panel and the second panel (see claims 15 & 16).

Regarding claims 12, 18, 30 & 36, Anderson discloses that the spacer is formed of ceramic or glass (lines 35-37 of column 3).

Regarding claims 17 & 35, Anderson discloses the method for manufacturing a flat-type display according to claim 29, in which a second low-melting-point metal material layer is formed on a portion where the spacer is to be fixed in the second panel effective field, and, the second low-melting-point metal material layer is melted when the first panel and the second

panel are bonded in their circumferential portions in said step (C), and thereby the spacer is fixed to the second panel effective field (see Fig 9 where both sides of the spacer are connected to each panel through low melting metal materials).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 14, 20, 32 & 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (US 5811927).

Regarding claims 4, 20 & 32 Anderson discloses the flat-type display according to claims 1, 11 & 29, where the first panel and the second panel are bonded to each other in their circumferential portions (see bonding layer 162) but is silent about layer is bonded through a material being made of a low-melting-point metal material. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use same low melting point metallic bonding layers (132) in the peripheral portion of the anode plate (122) to bond first and second panel through the side wall 162, since this facilitates manufacturing process as well as provide uniform height.

Claims 3, 13, 19, 31 & 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. as applied to claims 1, 11 & 29 above, and further in view of Inoue et al. (2002/0079802).

Regarding claims 3, 13, 19, 31 & 37, Anderson discloses the flat panel display of claims 1, 11 & 29, where the first panel and the second panel are bonded to each other in their circumferential portions through a bonding layer (162) but is silent about layer being made of frit glass.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Inoue et al. that bonding the first and second panel in their circumferential portions through a bonding layer made of frit glass is old and conventional to form a vacuum enclosure (see paragraph 162).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate frit glass for bonding the first and second panel in the circumferential portion, since such bonding materials are well known suitable material for bonding two panel to form a vacuum enclosure for field emission device, and further, selection of known material for known purpose in within the skill of art.

Claims 6-7, 9-10, 23-24, 26-28, 41-42, 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. as applied to claims 1, 11 & 29 above, and further in view of Hsiao et al. (2002/0096992).

Regarding claims 6, 10, 23, 27-28, 41 & 45-46, Anderson et al. teach all the limitations of claim 6, 9, 23, 27-28, 41, 45-46, (see rejection of claims 1, 11 & 29) except for a plurality of spacer holders for temporarily holding the spacer in the first panel.

However, Hsiao et al. in the same field of Field emission device, teaches forming spacer holder (16 of Fig 5D) on the first panel (anode panel 1) where the spacers are hold temporarily before anodic bonding (paragraphs 21 & 34). Hsiao et al. further teach that such holder supports the spacer in the upper plate so as not to drop off before anodic bonding, further reduces the

thickness of FED and does not increase any extra process before fixing the spacer by anodic bonding (see paragraphs 18-21).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate holder in the device of Anderson, as taught by Hsiao et al. since such holder will reduce extra process for manufacturing FED and reduces the thickness of FED.

Regarding claims 7, 24, 42, Anderson discloses that the spacer is formed of ceramic or glass (lines 35-37 of column 3).

Regarding claims 9, 26, & 44, Anderson & Hsiao et al. discloses the flat panel display of claims 6, 23 & 41, together with the first panel and the second panels are bonded to each other in their circumferential portions (see bonding layer 162) but is silent about layer is bonded through a material being made of a low-melting-point metal material. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use same low melting point metallic bonding layers (132) in the peripheral portion of the anode plate (122) to bond first and second panel through the side wall 162, since this facilitates manufacturing process as well as provide uniform height.

Claims 8, 25 & 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. in view of Hsiao et al. (2002/0096992) and further in view of Inoue et al. (2002/0079802).

Regarding claims 8, 25, 43, Anderson & Hsiao et al. discloses the flat panel display of claims 6, 23 & 41, together with the first panel and the second panels are bonded to each other in

their circumferential portions through a bonding layer (162) but are silent about layer being made of frit glass.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Inoue et al. teach that bonding the first and second panel in their circumferential portions through a bonding layer made of frit glass is old and conventional to form a vacuum enclosure (see paragraph 162).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate frit glass for bonding the first and second panel in the circumferential portion, since such bonding materials are well known suitable material for bonding two panel to form a vacuum enclosure for field emission device, and further, selection of known material for known purpose in within the skill of art.

Other Prior Art Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure : Hofmann et al. (US 2003/0141806); Ueda et al. (US 2004/0051443).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is 571-272-2452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minh-Toan Ton can be reached on 571-272-2303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karabi Guharay/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2889